



# DOE Rapid Operational Validation Initiative (ROVI)

Guidance for Data Collection from Flow Systems

**Last Revised July 28, 2023**

Prepared by:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, OFFICE OF ELECTRICITY



Contents

1. Introduction ..... 3

2. System Metadata ..... 4

    2.1 System Metadata Excel Sheet ..... 4

    2.2 System Physical Layout..... 7

    2.3 Power Meter Layout..... 7

    2.4 Auxiliary Load Meter Layout ..... 8

    2.5 Protection Component Layout..... 9

    2.6 Vendor Data Sheets..... 10

    2.7 Other ..... 10

3. System Streaming Data ..... 11

    3.1 Streaming Data Points During Standard Operation ..... 11

    3.2 Fault-Triggered Data Collection..... 14

    3.3 Streaming Data Communications Protocols ..... 15

4. Event and Maintenance Data ..... 17

5. System Commands..... 21

6. Reference Performance Tests (RPTs)..... 22

    6.1 Schedule for Energy Capacity Test at Rated Power ..... 22

    6.2 Schedule for Energy Capacity Test at Half the Rated Power ..... 23

    6.3 Schedule for Pulse Test ..... 24

    6.4 Schedule for PV Firing ..... 25

    6.5 Schedule for Frequency Regulation ..... 26

    6.6 Schedule for Standby Energy Loss..... 27

    6.7 Timeline for Reference Performance Tests ..... 28

7. Data Request Checklist..... 30

## 1. Introduction

The DOE Energy Storage Grand Challenge Rapid Operational Validation Initiative (ROVI) is intended to address critical gaps in data needs to evaluate energy storage, such as the lack of access to large and uniform sets of performance data that are necessary to accelerate the pace at which technology development can occur. ROVI's overall focus is to accelerate the time from lab to market for new energy storage technologies by employing data-driven tools to predict their operational lifetimes. The data will also be used to develop accelerated testing and validation methods for new technologies. To achieve these goals, ROVI will collect data from Long Duration Energy Storage (LDES) systems awarded funding from certain DOE programs. For example, DE-FOA-0002867, Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Long-Duration Energy Storage Demonstrations, notes that:

*"In order to fulfill statutory objectives for reporting and testing and validation requirements outlined in the BIL and Energy Act of 2020, OCED will leverage the Rapid Operational Validation Initiative to collect quality data from deployments funded by the BIL provisions."*

This document outlines the ROVI expectations for data collection from these deployed systems, specifically redox flow battery (RFB) systems. Reporting requirements are outlined for four types of data or metadata: 1) system metadata; 2) streaming data; 3) event and maintenance data; 4) system commands/schedules. Additionally, this document details protocols for periodic reference performance tests to assess system state of health.

RFBs may have different architectures that impact the type of information that can be collected from each one (Figure 1). In this document, we address data and metadata for three basic RFB designs: 1) a standard dual flow system with only dissolved actives; 2) a hybrid system employing a solid anode active; and 3) a redox shuttle design with majority stationary solid actives in tanks accessed by pumped redox shuttles.




Figure 1. Three basic RFB designs.

## 2. System Metadata

System metadata is to be reported to the ROVI team within two months of the project start. This involves uploading the following documents to a ROVI-designated shared drive:

- Completed System Metadata Excel sheet
- System physical layout
- Power meter layout
- Auxiliary load meter layout
- Protection component layout
- Vendor data sheets

Additional details on each of these reporting requirements are noted below.

### 2.1 System Metadata Excel Sheet

Metadata is essential for organizing data streams from different deployment projects. Tables 1 provides a copy of the information requests in the System Metadata Excel Sheet for the three different RFB designs. In lieu of filling out this table, the project performer may also share vendor data sheets with the relevant information. The ROVI team will then complete this table on the project performer's behalf and follow up with requests for any missing metadata.

**Table 1. Flow system metadata requests.**

Metadata	Unit	Value	Description
<b>System Specifications</b>			
Rated power	kVA		Provide energy at which rated power is measured
Rated energy	kWh		Provide power at which rated energy is measured
Minimum operating temperature	C		
Maximum operating temperature	C		
Preferred operating temperature window (lower to upper bound)	C		
Maximum state of charge (SOC) (operating limit)	Percent		
Minimum SOC (operating limit)	Percent		
<b>Power Conversion System (PCS) Specifications</b>			
Power conversion system rated power	kVA		For each PCS
AC/DC bidirectional inverter rated power	kVA		For each bidirectional inverter
AC/DC bidirectional inverter rated voltage (in/out)	V <sub>ac</sub> / V <sub>dc</sub>		For each bidirectional inverter
AC/DC bidirectional inverter rated current	A <sub>ac</sub> / A <sub>dc</sub>		For each bidirectional inverter
DC/DC bidirectional converter rated power	kW		For each converter
DC/DC bidirectional converter rated voltage	V <sub>high</sub> / V <sub>low</sub>		For each converter

DC/DC bidirectional converter rated current	A <sub>high</sub> / A <sub>low</sub>		For each converter
Number of DC/DC converters	--		For each PCS
Number of AC/DC inverters	--		For each PCS
<b>String Specifications</b>			
Total number of strings in system			
How are strings connected?			To each other or to converter/inverter
Total number of stacks per string			
Total number of stacks in string with xPyS configuration	x, y		To each other or to converter
Total number of pumps per string			
<b>Stack Specifications</b>			
Total number of cells in stack			
Rated power	kW		
Maximum voltage	V		
Minimum voltage	V		
Maximum current charge	A		
Maximum current discharge	A		
<b>Cell Specifications</b>			
Flow pattern			For example, flow through, interdigitated (provide associated dimensions if possible)
Negative electrode material			
Negative electrode size (l x w x thickness)	cm		
Negative electrode pre-treatments			
Negative electrode compression ratio			
Negative electrode conductivity	S/cm		
Positive electrode material			
Positive electrode size (l x w x thickness)			
Positive electrode pre-treatments			
Positive electrode compression ratio			
Positive electrode conductivity	S/cm		
Membrane material			
Membrane size (l x w x thickness)	cm		
Membrane pre-treatments			
Membrane water uptake	percent		
Membrane swelling ratio	percent		
Membrane area resistance	Ohm cm <sup>2</sup>		
Membrane proton conductivity	mS/cm		

**Commented [SV1]:** Is this an acronym?

**Commented [ZD2R1]:** Please confirm.

Membrane permeability	cm <sup>2</sup>		
Membrane porosity	percent		
Membrane ion selectivity	S min/cm <sup>3</sup>		
<b><i>Electrolyte Specifications</i></b>			
Negative electrolyte active species			
Negative electrolyte active species initial concentration	M		
Negative electrolyte supporting species			
Negative electrolyte supporting species initial concentration	M		
Negative electrolyte initial volume in tank	L		
Negative electrolyte viscosity	Pa s		
Negative electrolyte precipitation temperature	C		
Positive electrolyte active species initial concentration			
Positive electrolyte active species initial concentration	M		
Positive electrolyte supporting species			
Positive electrolyte supporting species initial concentration	M		
Positive electrolyte initial volume in tank	L		
Positive electrolyte viscosity	Pa s		
Positive electrolyte precipitation temperature	C		
pH			
<b><i>Other Specifications</i></b>			
Tank dimensions ( <i>d x h</i> )	m		
Piping material composition			
Tubing material composition			
<b><i>Component Manufacturers + Product IDs</i></b>			
Electrodes			
Membrane			
Electrolyte			
Stack			
Battery management system			
Thermal management system			
Power conversion system or inverter			
Transformer			
HVAC			
Pumps			
Tanks			

The project performer should also note any planned operating constraints for the system, such as bounds on SOC, temperature, or number of cycles.

### 2.2 System Physical Layout

Diagrams of the system will assist in the development of 3D models that allow the identification of location-specific issues in containers (e.g., inadequate cooling that is impacting the performance of stacks in one corner of the container). The diagrams of the system provided to the ROVI team should include the information noted in Table 2. These diagrams will preferably be shared as Computer Aided Design or Building Information Modeling files.

**Table 2. Elements to include in system diagrams.**

System Diagram Elements	Notes
Dimensions	
Location of battery stacks in the container	
Location of pumps	
Location of tanks	
Location of power electronics in the container	
Location of all thermal sensors	
Location of any additional sensors	For example, gas detection
Layout of streaming data label vs. container number and location of component in container	Components include stacks, pumps, etc. If there are elements labeled Stack 1 and 2 in the data stream, we should know where they are in the container.

### 2.3 Power Meter Layout

The ROVI team is requesting documents that map the measurements from power meters in the streaming data to points on a power flow diagram. This information will provide essential context for power measurements.

The following descriptions provide three examples of potential power meter layouts, mapped against points in power flow diagrams in Figure 2. Each of these scenarios presents a different configuration, illustrating how power is measured at various points in the energy storage system.


**Example 1:** In this layout, a single DC battery power meter measures the DC power flow from the DC battery to the bidirectional inverter ( $P_{bat}$ ). This power is subsequently measured at the PCS power meter as it exits the inverter ( $P_{PCS}$ ). The power is then split off and measured at an auxiliary power meter for the auxiliary loads ( $P_{aux}$ ). Another portion is directed to the transformer, measured at a separate power meter ( $P_{trans}$ ). Exiting the transformer, the power flow is measured at the grid power meter ( $P_{grid}$ ) before finally being delivered to the grid.

**Example 2:** In this layout, a DC power meter measures the DC power flow out of the DC battery ( $P_{bat1}$ ). This power splits off into two streams. One portion is measured at the auxiliary power meter ( $P_{aux}$ ), and the other portion is measured as it enters the bidirectional inverter ( $P_{bat2}$ ). The power leaving the inverter is measured by the PCS power meter before it goes into the transformer ( $P_{PCS}$ ). The power exiting the transformer and feeding into the grid is measured at the grid power meter ( $P_{grid}$ ).

**Example 3:** In this layout, a DC power meter measures the DC power flow from the battery to the bidirectional inverter ( $P_{bat}$ ). The PCS power meter then measures the power flowing from the inverter to the transformer ( $P_{PCS}$ ). The grid power meter captures the power flow from the transformer to the grid ( $P_{grid}$ ). In this configuration, the auxiliary load is measured at the auxiliary power meter ( $P_{aux}$ ) but comes from the grid via a separate line.

The project performer should provide a power meter layout similar to the examples in Figure 1. For all cases, the various levels at which power flow is measured are:

- $P_{grid}$  (upstream<sup>1</sup> of transformer)
- $P_{trans}$  (downstream of transformer)
- $P_{pcs}$  (upstream of bidirectional inverter)
- $P_{aux}$  – measure auxiliary load
- $P_{bat}$  (upstream of DC battery)
  - In example 2,  $P_{bat1}$  is measured just upstream of DC battery, and  $P_{bat2}$  is measured upstream of the point at which power flows to auxiliary load.



**Figure 2. Example cases (1 to 3, left to right) of power meter layouts.**

## 2.4 Auxiliary Load Meter Layout

The auxiliary load meter layout will provide essential context for power measurements and should be noted in an electrical line diagram. Table 3 provides example auxiliary loads that may be considered in the electrical line diagram.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Upstream is toward the grid, downstream is away from the grid.

<sup>2</sup> For additional examples of auxiliary load meter layouts, see: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, [Avista Turner Energy Storage System: An Assessment of Battery Technical Performance](#), Figure A.9, July 2019; Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, [Puget Sound Energy Glacier Energy Storage System: An Assessment of Battery Technical Performance](#), Figure A.1, July 2019; Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, [Snohomish Public Utility District MESA 2:](#)

**Table 3. Auxiliary loads to consider in the electrical line diagram.**

Auxiliary Load Component	Notes
HVAC	
Pumps	
Lighting inside container/cabinet	
Fire suppression system	
Power to the Battery Management System (BMS)	
Power to the site manager, Energy Management System (EMS)	For example, computers, controls, AC, lighting for the cabin/room in which EMS is located
Data storage and transfer	For example, power industrial computers to share data

## 2.5 Protection Component Layout

The protection component layout will provide essential context for events and faults in the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and should be noted in an electrical line diagram. Table 4 provides example protection components that may be considered in the electrical line diagram.<sup>3</sup>

---

[An Assessment of Battery Technical Performance](#), Figure 3, Figure A.3, Figure A.4, March 2019; Pterra Consulting, [Auxiliary Metering for BESS+PV Installations: Are They Necessary? By Pterra Consulting](#); Sandia National Laboratories, [Performance Assessment of the PNM Prosperity Electricity Storage Project: A Study for the DOE Energy Storage Systems Program](#), Figure 3, May 2014.

<sup>3</sup> For additional examples of protection components in electrical line diagrams, see: Allen Austin, ABB Electrification USA, [Energy Storage Components for the OEM](#), May 2021; Sandia National Laboratories, [Performance Assessment of the PNM Prosperity Electricity Storage Project: A Study for the DOE Energy Storage Systems Program](#), May 2014, Figure 2; Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, [Snohomish Public Utility District MESA 2: An Assessment of Battery Technical Performance](#), Figure 3, Figure A.3, Figure A.4, March 2019.

**Table 4. Protection component layout.**

Level	Component	Comments
Stack	Fuse	If stacks are connected in series, only one fuse is needed at string level. If connected in parallel, each stack needs a fuse.
DC string level	Fuse, contactor, circuit breaker, relay, switch (may not have all of these items)	Strings may be connected in series, in parallel, or in series/parallel. If strings are connected in series, only one of each item is needed at string level. If connected in parallel, each string would need these items.
DC BESS (on the DC side of the bidirectional inverter)	Fuse, circuit breaker, contactor, relay, switch, surge protector (may not have all of these items)	
AC side of the bidirectional inverter	Fuse, circuit breaker, contactor, relay, switch, surge protector (may not have all of these items)	

## 2.6 Vendor Data Sheets

The project performer is to provide vendor data sheets for all components for which they are available, especially the electrolyte, membrane, stacks, strings, pumps, battery management system, converter, inverter, thermal management system, capacity rebalancing component, and overall BESS.

The inverter data sheet would preferably include the following information:

- Temperature rating: power vs. temperature
- Altitude rating: power vs. altitude
- Nominal capability curve: P (MW) vs. Q (MVar)
- Any other standard inverter specs provided to the customer

The system data sheet would preferably include the following information:

- Discharge energy at various SOC (at various discharge powers)
- Any other standard system specs provided to the customer

## 2.7 Other

The ROVI team may require other system metadata over the course of the project. The ROVI team and the project performer will discuss these new requests with DOE. The project performer will provide the metadata in the specified format if the request is deemed reasonable.

### 3. System Streaming Data

The following section details the streaming data points that the ROVI team is requesting and the communications protocols according to which the project performer may share the data with the ROVI team.

#### 3.1 Streaming Data Points During Standard Operation

Tables 5 provides a copy of the data point specifications in the Streaming Data Excel sheet. These specifications apply to data collection during normal operating conditions. For all points, discharging should be denoted as positive and charging as negative.

The project performer should confirm with the ROVI team and all component manufacturers that they will be able to supply each of the data points at the requested rate and resolution. Additionally, the project performer should notify the ROVI team if they plan to use any additional sensors in the system (e.g., gas detection, leakage, mechanical vibration for pumps), especially at the cell or stack level. The ROVI team may also request the streaming data from these sensors.

**Table 5. Flow system streaming data.**

<b>Data point</b>	<b>Units</b>	<b>Sample rate minimum (sample/s)</b>	<b>Values</b>	<b>Notes</b>
<b>System Level</b>				
Time		1	Value	ISO 8601 format
Power at point of connection with grid	kW	1	Value	See meter layout diagram
Reactive power at point of connection with grid	kVAR	1	Value	See meter layout diagram
Power factor at point of connection with grid		1	Value	See meter layout diagram
AC RMS Voltage (A/B/C)	VRMS	1	Value	Distinct output for A/B/C
AC RMS Current (A/B/C)	IRMS	1	Value	Distinct output for A/B/C
Power at transformer	kW	1	Value	See meter layout diagram
Reactive power at transformer	kVAR	1	Value	See meter layout diagram
Power factor at transformer		1	Value	See meter layout diagram
AC RMS voltage (A/B/C) at transformer	VRMS	1	Value	Distinct output for A/B/C
AC RMS Current (A/B/C) at Transformer	IRMS	1	Value	Distinct output for A/B/C

Power requested (command)	kW	1	Value	At what meter does BESS attempt to provide the requested power?
Reactive power requested (command)	kVAR	1	Value	
SOC		1	Value	0.1% precision
State of health (SOH)		1 per day	Value	1% precision (What is this based on? The electrolyte?)
Total AC discharge energy	kWh	1 per 10 min	Value	Recorded at meter or calculation? If at meter, get at each meter.
Total AC charge energy	kWh	1 per 10 min	Value	Recorded at meter or calculation? If at meter, get at each meter.
Contactor status	Binary	1	1 = closed, 0 = open	For every contactor
Breaker status	Binary	1	1 = closed, 0 = open	For every breaker
System frequency	Hz	1	Value	
<b>Power Conversion System</b>				
Power at PCS	kW	1	Value	See meter layout diagram
Reactive power at PCS	kVAR	1	Value	See meter layout diagram
Power factor at PCS		1	Value	See meter layout diagram
AC RMS voltage (A/B/C) at PCS	VRMS	1	Value	Distinct output for A/B/C
AC RMS current (A/B/C) at PCS	IRMS	1	Value	Distinct output for A/B/C
THD	dBm	1	Value	Usually measured at converter level
Contactor status	Binary	1	1 = closed, 0 = open	For every contactor
Breaker status	Binary	1	1 = closed, 0 = open	For every breaker

**Commented [SV3]:** Is this something that the awardee is supposed to provide an answer to? Other rows have questions in the notes column so we should make that clear

**Commented [ZD4R3]:** Please confirm.

Total DC discharge energy	kWh	1 per 10 min	Value	Recorded at meter or calculation?
Total DC charge energy	kWh	1 per 10 min	Value	Recorded at meter or calculation?
DC power	kW	1	Value	For each PCS
DC voltage	V	1	Value	For each PCS
DC current	I	1	Value	For each PCS
Temperature	C	1	Value	For each PCS; 0.1C precision
<b><i>Tank and Pumps</i></b>				
Negative electrolyte pump power	kW	1	Value	
Negative electrolyte pump flow rate	m <sup>3</sup> /s	1	Value	
Negative electrolyte tank headspace pressure	psi	1 per 10 s	Value	
Negative electrolyte tank level	m	1 per 10 s	Value	
Negative electrolyte stack inlet or pump outlet pressure	psi	1	Value	Per hydraulic parallel connection
Negative electrolyte tank temperature	C	1	Value	0.1C precision; at all locations where measured
Positive electrolyte pump power	kW	1	Value	
Positive electrolyte pump flow rate	m <sup>3</sup> /s	1	Value	
Positive electrolyte tank headspace pressure	psi	1 per 10 s	Value	
Positive electrolyte tank level	m	1 per 10 s	Value	
Positive electrolyte stack inlet or pump outlet pressure	psi	1	Value	Per hydraulic parallel connection
Positive electrolyte tank temperature	C	1	Value	0.1C precision; at all locations where measured
Tank SOC		1	Value	0.1% precision; based on positive/negative electrolyte tanks
<b><i>String Level</i></b>				
DC power	kW	1	Value	
DC voltage	V	1	Value	
DC current	A	1	Value	
Inlet temperature	C	1	Value	0.1C precision
Outlet temperature	C	1	Value	0.1C precision
SOH		1 per day	Value	1% precision

<b>Stack Level</b>				
DC power	kW	1	Value	
DC voltage	V	1	Value	
DC current	A	1	Value	
Inlet temperature	C	1	Value	0.1C precision
Outlet temperature	C	1	Value	0.1C precision
SOH		1 per day	Value	1% precision
<b>Cell Level</b>				
DC voltage	V	1	Value	If measured
<b>Other Auxiliary Systems</b>				
Auxiliary system power	kW	1	v	For every auxiliary meter
Auxiliary system reactive power	kVAR	1	Value	For every auxiliary meter
HVAC/thermal control power	kW	1	Value	
<b>External Conditions</b>				
Outside temperature	C	1 per min	Value	0.1C precision
Outside dew point or humidity %		1 per min	Value	
Precipitation	mm	1 per min	Value	

The project performer should notify the ROVI team if they are measuring the SOC at any point beyond the system level.

### 3.2 Fault-Triggered Data Collection

Grid anomalies under energy storage testing and operation can be anticipated. While the expectation is that the energy storage technology should be able to perform corrective actions (disconnection, deactivation, ride-through, etc.), having data to understand the event and the implication on operations is critical. Digital fault recording technology has been present in many technologies and is generally used for recording of system events and monitoring system protection performance.<sup>4</sup> These fault recording systems are also integrated into larger energy storage systems to establish explanations for system trips and sudden changes in performance.<sup>5</sup> These systems collect data at much higher resolution continually but only provide this data upon a poll. The ROVI team requests that a digital fault recorder able to collect data at a minimum of 1 ms data resolution be installed to capture system transients. Data recorded includes AC side voltage and current.

<sup>4</sup> Joe Perez, "A Guide to Digital Fault Recording Event Analysis," 2010 63rd Annual Conference for Protective Relay Engineers, College Station, Texas, 2010: 1–17.

<sup>5</sup> Rodrigo D. Trevizan, James Obert, Valerio De Angelis, Tu A. Nguyen, Vittal S. Rao, and Babu R. Chalamala, "Cyberphysical Security of Grid Battery Energy Storage Systems," *IEEE Access* 10 (2022): 59675–59722.

### 3.3 Streaming Data Communications Protocols

The following section outlines two options for the project performer to provide streaming data to the ROVI team based on existing common methods for sharing data from a BESS:

1. ROVI connects to existing vendor communication adapter
2. ROVI connects to existing vendor cloud services

The project performer should select one of these methods for sharing data with the ROVI team and address any additional questions listed for that method. The project performer should immediately notify the ROVI team if neither data sharing protocol works for them.

#### Method 1: ROVI connects to existing vendor communication adapter

- In this method (Figure 3), the project performer will directly stream the data in real time or collect data locally in a historian and stream in a batch mode through a “vendor-owned” communication adapter.
- The Energy Management System (which has access to the requested streaming data points) will be collecting data into a historian independent of the communication module connected to the utility.
- The ROVI team will provide the endpoints and Rest API methods.
- The “vendor-owned” communication adapter will adhere to the Rest API documentation that will be provided before the commissioning of the BESS system.
- A responsibility matrix will be established between the ROVI team and the project performer (in terms of ownership and protocols for communication) for guaranteed service to ensure minimal loss of data.
- The project performer provides the communication adapter to communicate to the Rest API.
- The process for token exchange to be used by the system performer as a trust certificate when pushing the data will be defined with sufficient rotation periods (up to 5 minutes).




Figure 3. ROVI connects to existing vendor communication adapter.

## Method 2: ROVI connects to existing vendor cloud services

- In this method (Figure 4), the vendor will directly stream the data from their “vendor-serviced” cloud system to the ROVI cloud system.
- Similar to method 1, the data will be streamed across the cloud services in either continuous or batch mode.
- The vendor cloud system will provide an adapter to communicate to the ROVI cloud system using Rest API.
- The ROVI team will provide the endpoints and Rest API methods.
- The Rest API documentation for the vendors to follow will be provided before the commissioning of the BESS system.
- A responsibility matrix will be established between the ROVI team and the project performer (in terms of ownership and protocols for communication) for guaranteed service to ensure minimal loss of data.
- The process for token exchange to be used by the system performer as a trust certificate when pushing the data will be defined with sufficient rotation periods (up to 5 minutes).




Figure 4. ROVI connects to existing vendor cloud services.

#### 4. Event and Maintenance Data

Streaming data alone does not provide adequate context for the events (planned and unplanned) that impact system performance. The following section details the system event and maintenance information that the ROVI team is requesting and the methods according to which the project performer may share the data with the ROVI team. An event is defined as anything that causes the system or a particular subsystem/component to be taken offline, replaced, or updated.

Table 6 (reproduced from the Event and Maintenance Log Excel file) details the minimum information that the ROVI team expects to receive whenever an event occurs and a system maintenance action is carried out. The ROVI team should be notified with the event information, component information, and event description within two business days of an event occurring. The ROVI team should be notified of the resolution within two business days of a maintenance action being taken.

The project performer will share this information with the ROVI team by filling out the Operations & Maintenance Written Log Excel file within a ROVI-designated shared drive.

Alternatively, this information may be shared via an existing maintenance tracking software that the project performer intends to use. This will be discussed during the development of the project work plan.

The ROVI team may require other event and maintenance descriptions over the course of the project. The ROVI team and the project performer will discuss these new requests with DOE. The project performer will provide the event and maintenance description in the specified format if the request is deemed reasonable.

**Table 6. Events and maintenance activity log.**

	Event Information			Component Information		Event Description		Resolution						
	Planned vs Unplanned	% System Rated Power Unavailable	Event Category (see options)	Component (see options)	Additional Component Details? (e.g., associated streaming label in system diagram)	Event Start Time (ISO 8601 format)	Short Event Description	Root Cause	Solution	Event Resolution Time (ISO 8601 format)	Event Duration (x days, y hours, z minutes)	Related to Previous Event #	Enter outage duration if less than event duration	Additional Details (provide version ID# if standard firmware update)
Event #														
1	Unplanned		Hardware	Battery	Container 2, Rack 12	2/2/2020 0:00				3/13/2020 11:01	40 days, 11 hours, 1 minutes	none	3 days	
2	Unplanned		Firmware / Software	Database issue		7/17/2020 0:00	Database software crash	firmware issue / update firmware with vendors help.		7/20/2020 0:01	3 days, 0 hours, 1 minutes	1		
3	Planned		Firmware / Software	Update										BMS upgraded to Version 11.2
4	Planned		Hardware	Battery			Replace bad module							

The options for the Event Category column are Hardware, Firmware/Software, Network, and External. The component options for each of the event categories are noted in Tables 7–10 below. These options are taken from the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Operations and Maintenance Tracker.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup> EPRI, [Energy Storage Operations and Maintenance Tracker](#), October 9, 2020.

**Table 7. Hardware event category components.**

Hardware	Notes
Battery management system	Controller that manages the operation of a single battery/storage system
Battery	Catchall for the part of the system that is storing the electrical energy in another form
Converter	Bi- or mono-directional DC-DC converter
Data acquisition (DAQ)	A device which collects and communicates data from many different metering devices but does not necessarily measure data directly
Database	A repository for historical system data
Electrical conductor	Only conductors used for moving energy in/out of storage system (excludes communications or auxiliary conductors)
Energy management system	High-level system controller typically used to orchestrate the operation of many storage devices and inverters
Fire detection or suppression systems	
Inverter	Bi- or mono-directional AC-DC converter
Meter	Any device in the system that is measuring and externally reporting data
Network equipment	
Pump	
Tank	
Thermal management	Anything related to HVAC
Transformer	Generic transformer. Details about the transformer can be provided in the blue table.
Uninterruptible power supply	

**Commented [DM5]:** For tables 7 to 10, should the components be listed in alphabetical order in each table?

Also, some of the Notes cells are blank—Will these be filled in later?

**Commented [DZ6R5]:** Updated the tables to be alpha order.

Vinod - Please advise on the second question.

**Table 8. Firmware/software event category components.**

Firmware/Software	Notes
Database Issue	
Error	Bug in firmware requiring update
Update	

**Table 9. Network event category components.**

<b>Network</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Cloud service outages	
Data transfer error	
Device failure	
Firewall error	
Internet service provider error	
Local area network error	
Physical disconnection	
VPN error	

**Table 10. External event category components.**

<b>External</b>	<b>Notes</b>
External tampering	Vandalism
Loss of auxiliary power	
Loss of grid power	
Operator error	
Site access issues	
Weather/natural causes	Earthquake, thunderstorm, pandemic, rodents, corrosion, erosion

## 5. System Commands

Understanding system performance requires comparison of the command issued to the BESS with the action ultimately taken. To this end, the ROVI team requests a time-stamped charge/discharge schedule for the system that details the power/reactive power command and any conditional logic. This would ideally be provided as part of the streaming data (see Table 5 entries for power and reactive power requested). The project performer should notify the ROVI team if this information cannot be shared as streaming data.

## 6. Reference Performance Tests (RPTs)


The execution of standard test protocols is essential for assessing the state of health of a system over time. The project performer will execute the following system reference performance tests (energy capacity, pulse, photovoltaic (PV) firming, frequency regulation, and standby energy loss) at specified times throughout the year. The resulting data will be shared with the ROVI team via the previously described approaches for streaming data and system commands.

The frequency of certain RPTs may be reduced if equivalent data is collected during normal operation over the course of the year. Additionally, there is flexibility in the timing of RPTs so that the BESS is not exercised to the detriment of the grid.

### 6.1 Schedule for Energy Capacity Test at Rated Power

This test is done twice a year: at the start of operations and every six months thereafter. The document “Flow RPTs 071423.xlsx” provides a row-by-row schedule for the energy capacity test at rated power, and this information is reproduced below. Figure 5 shows the full protocol over time.

1. Charge the BESS to maximum SOC as dictated by BMS (which may be < 100% SOC) using recommended charge power, allowing power to taper as dictated by BMS.
2. Rest for 10–20 minutes (for 10- to 24-hour duration, 20 minutes for 10 hours, 10 minutes for 24 hours; interpolate in between).
  - a. All auxiliary loads related to thermal management, BMS, EMS, and lighting are on.
  - b. Pumps being on is optional (depends on the system design). The same algorithm used in the field for rest duration of 10–20 minutes will be used.
3. Discharge at rated power to lower SOC limit as dictated by BMS (which may be > 0% SOC).
  - a. The lower SOC limit is simply the value less than that at which rated power cannot be supported.
4. Rest for 10–20 minutes (for 10- to 24-hour duration, 20 minutes for 10 hours, 10 minutes for 24 hours; interpolate in between).
5. Charge the BESS to maximum SOC as dictated by BMS using recommended charge power, allowing power to taper as dictated by BMS.
6. Rest for 10–20 minutes (for 10- to 24-hour duration, 20 minutes for 10 hours, 10 minutes for 24 hours).
7. Steps 3–6 form one cycle. Repeat steps 3–6 three times.




**Figure 5. Protocol for energy capacity test at rated power.**

## 6.2 Schedule for Energy Capacity Test at Half the Rated Power

This test is done once a year: at the start of testing and every 12 months thereafter. The document "Flow RPTs 071423.xlsx" provides a row-by-row schedule for the energy capacity test at half the rated power, and this information is reproduced below. Figure 6 shows the full protocol over time.

1. Charge the BESS to maximum SOC as dictated by BMS (which may be < 100% SOC) using recommended charge power, allowing power to taper as dictated by BMS.
2. Rest for 10–20 minutes (for 10- to 24-hour duration, 20 minutes for 10 hours, 10 minutes for 24 hours; interpolate in between).
  - a. All auxiliary loads related to thermal management, BMS, EMS, and lighting are on.
  - b. Pumps being on is optional (depends on the system design). The same algorithm used in the field for rest duration of 10–20 minutes will be used.
3. Discharge at half the rated power to lower SOC limit as dictated by BMS (which may be > 0% SOC).
4. Rest for 10–20 minutes (for 10- to 24-hour duration, 20 minutes for 10 hours, 10 minutes for 24 hours).
5. Charge the BESS to maximum SOC as dictated by BMS using recommended charge power, allowing power to taper as dictated by BMS.



**Figure 6. Protocol for energy capacity test at half the rated power.**

### 6.3 Schedule for Pulse Test

This test is done once a year: at the start of testing and every 12 months thereafter. The document "Flow RPTs 071423.xlsx" provides a row-by-row schedule for the pulse test, and this information is reproduced below. Figure 7 shows the full protocol over time.

Start at 100% SOC. Measure resistance at maximum SOC, 80%, 60%, 40%, 20%, minimum SOC, with only discharge pulse at 100% SOC, charge pulse at 0% SOC, and discharge and charge pulse at other SOC. Rest 30 minutes between pulses and after taking BESS to desired SOC.

- Assume discharge pulse is applied at rated power. Keep delta SOC for pulse < 0.025% SOC.
- $\text{Rated Power} * (\text{Pulse duration } \Delta T \text{ in hours for 10-hour BESS}) = \text{Rated power} * 10h * 0.00025$ .
- Pulse duration in hours = 0.0025 hours or 9 seconds.
- For the same duration of 9 seconds, delta SOC for 24-hour BESS = 0.010%.

1. Take BESS to maximum SOC per procedure described earlier. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - a. Apply discharge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
2. Take BESS to 80% SOC by discharging at rated power. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - a. Apply discharge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - b. Apply charge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
3. Take BESS to 60% SOC by discharging at rated power. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - a. Apply discharge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - b. Apply charge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
4. Take BESS to 40% SOC by discharging at rated power. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - a. Apply discharge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - b. Apply charge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
5. Take BESS to 20% SOC by discharging at rated power. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - a. Apply discharge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - b. Apply charge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest for 30 minutes.

6. Take BESS to minimum SOC by discharging at rated power. Rest for 30 minutes.
  - a. Apply charge pulse at rated power for 9 seconds. Rest 30 minutes.
7. Bring BESS to maximum SOC. Rest 30 minutes.





Figure 7. Protocol for pulse test.

#### 6.4 Schedule for PV Firming

This test is done once a year: at the start of testing and every 12 months thereafter. The document "Flow RPTs 071423.xlsx" provides a row-by-row schedule for the PV firming protocol, and this information is reproduced below. Figure 8 shows the power commands sent to the BESS as a function of time.

1. Bring BESS to desired start SOC (95% SOCmax)
2. Rest for 20 minutes.
3. Apply 10-hour PV firming signal.
4. Rest for 10 minutes.
5. Bring BESS back to initial SOC.




**Figure 8. Protocol for PV firing.**

### 6.5 Schedule for Frequency Regulation

This test is done once a year: at the start of testing and every 12 months thereafter. The document “Flow RPTs 071423.xlsx” provides a row-by-row schedule for the frequency regulation protocol, and this information is reproduced below. Figure 9 shows the full protocol over time.

1. Bring BESS to the required starting SOC. Note: Since 1 power unit is the rated power, which is the 10-hour rate, applying a 24-hour energy neutral duty cycle is not expected to have a delta SOC of > 5%. To avoid voltage excursion on charge pulses, the starting SOC is set at 60%.
  - a. Charge or discharge the BESS to 60% SOC. Compare the charge or discharge energy to the required energy to bring to start SOC based on the measured energy capacity from the RPT.
    - i. During charge, the charge energy = (Measured discharge energy capacity from RPT)\*(60% SOC-BES SOC)/(SOCmax-SOCmin)/RTE from RPT test
    - ii. During discharge, the discharge energy = (Measured discharge energy capacity from RPT)\*( BESS SOC -60% SOC)/(SOCmax-SOCmin)
2. Rest for 10 minutes for 10-hour duration, 5 minutes for 24-hour duration.
3. Apply the frequency regulation signal.
4. Bring battery back to initial 60% SOC.



**Figure 9. Protocol for frequency regulation.**

#### 6.6 Schedule for Standby Energy Loss

This test is done once a year: at the start of testing and every 12 months thereafter. The document "Flow RPTs 071423.xlsx" provides a row-by-row schedule for the Standby Energy Loss Rate protocol, and this information is reproduced below. Figure 10 shows the power commands sent to the BESS as a function of time.

1. Charge BESS to maximum SOC.
2. Rest for 20 minutes.
3. Discharge at rated power to lower SOC limit (Wh\_initial).
4. Rest for 20 minutes.
5. Charge BESS to maximum SOC.
6. Rest for 3 days.
7. Discharge at rated power to lower SOC limit (Wh\_3days).
8. Rest for 20 minutes.
9. Charge BESS to max SOC (Wh\_charge).




Figure 10. Protocol for standby energy loss rate and self-discharge rate.

#### 6.7 Timeline for Reference Performance Tests

Figure 11 specifies when particular reference performance tests should be performed over the course of a 12-month period for a 10-hour flow battery.




Figure 11. Monthly timeline for ROVI RPT requests for 10-hour flow battery.

Figure 12 specifies when particular reference performance tests should be performed over the course of a 12-month period for a 24-hour flow battery.




Figure 12. Monthly timeline for ROVI RPT requests for 24-hour flow battery.

## 7. Data Request Checklist

Table 11 summarizes the full list of information that the ROVI team is requesting from the project performer, as well as the method and timeline for that information transfer.

**Table 11. Checklist of documents for project performer to share with ROVI team.**

Description	Sharing Format	Timeline for Sharing
System metadata	ROVI-provided System Metadata Excel sheet completed and uploaded to designated shared drive.	Within two months of project start.
System physical layout	Upload to designated shared drive	
Power meter layout		
Aux load meter layout		
Protection component layout		
Vendor data sheets		
Streaming data points	ROVI team and project performer to agree upon communications protocols	1. Final data points list and communications protocol agreed upon during work plan development 2. Pipeline for data collection completed prior to project commissioning 3. Streaming data collection is continuous during project execution
Event and maintenance data	ROVI-provided Event and Maintenance Data sheet completed by project performer	Within two business days of an event or a resolution